		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
_		District of Delaware					
		UNITED STATES OF AMERICA					
		v. ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL					
		Kenneth Kilson Case OF - 143 M - 2					
		Defendant					
		cordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
		Part I—Findings of Fact					
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a					
		an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in					
		an offense for which a maximum of improvement of car years of more is presented in					
		a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment					
	(4)	for the offense described in finding (1). (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of eonditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.					
		Alternative Findings (A)					
X	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense X for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).					
X	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of eonditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.					
		Alternative Findings (B)					
	. ,	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.					
	(2)	(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.					

Part II-Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by X clear and convincing evidence X a preponderance of the evidence: Defendant presently does not opposed the government's motion for detention but reserves the right to do so in the future which was granted. In addition to defendant's non-opposition, the nature of the offense against defendant is serious since it is eonspiracy to posses with intent to distribute more than 500 grams of cocaine. The amount of cocaine intercepted was 3 kilos or 7+ pounds. As a result of a preliminary hearing, this court found probable cause, so the government's evidence supports the charge under a totality of the eircumstances analysis. As a result, both the nature of the offense and strength of evidence in support of the charge are against release. Defendant's criminal history is also beginning at age 8-9 years. Between 1996-1999 while a juvenile, defendant was arrest 12 times for various offenses predominately theft, shoplifting, assault. However in 1999, he was found delinquent on the charge of possession with intent to deliver a narcotic controlled sehedule II substance. In 2001 he was convicted of possession of MJ and possession of a controlled deadly substance in MD for which he was found in VOP in 2003. In 2001 he was convicted of possession with intent to deliver a narcotic controlled substance for which he was found VOP in 2002. IN 2003 he was convicted of fleeing and eluding police in MD and possession of a cocain and possession of a controlled deadly substance paraphernalia. He was also convicted of fugitive from justice (Delaware took custody) and presently has pending as a result of his arrest for the federal charges resisting arrest with force or violence and reckless endangering first degree (resulting from defendant's attempt to flee federal agents and also attempted and drove his vehicle into two officers' vehicle while trying to flee). Therefore, there are not conditions or combination thereof that will reasonably assure defendant's appearance as required and the safety of the community. Ε

AUG 2 5 2008

D

U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE SAO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

р	art III—Directions F	Regarding Detention					
Part III—Directions Regarding Detention The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate,							
to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or							
reasonable opportunity for private consultation wit Government, the person in charge of the corrections							
connection with a court proceeding.	Tuesting situal deriver the	ociendam to the entired state	is maistral for the purpose of an	паррешанее п			
4 25 2000	$\lambda \lambda a$	2011					
August 25, 2008 Date		Signature of Judic	ial Officer				
		Mary Pat Thynge, Ma	**				

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).